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Commentary

Shaping the Screening, Behavioral Intervention and Referral to Treatment (SBIRT) Model for Treatment of Alcohol Use Disorder in the COVID 19 Era

The upheaval associated with COVID-19 has caused significant challenges for individuals struggling with mental illness. Initial evidence on the interdependence of mental illness and substance use disorders (SUDs) through the pandemic, suggests that people struggling with social and economic isolation, and social and psychological stress may have increased incidence of SUDs, including alcohol use disorders (AUDs).\(^1\) This in turn leaves them potentially vulnerable to worse health outcomes, with evidence of AUD as a common risk factor for worsening psychiatric comorbidities.\(^2,3\) Alcohol treatment relative to treatment for illicit drug use has lagged during the pandemic.\(^4\) Healthcare services must anticipate a substantial increase in demand for addiction treatment and ensure access through appropriate adaptations and innovations to care delivery. Significant challenges identified during this time include the health inequity of vulnerable populations, poor access to resources for rural populations, and a lack of uniform standards across healthcare insurance plans covering all citizens for basic services. Despite these challenges, it is also a time of great opportunity in digital health solutions, including telehealth delivered services and new paradigms of treatment, such as harm reduction strategies.\(^4\) Here we explore the potential of the screening, brief intervention, and referral to treatment (SBIRT) model for treating AUD in the COVID-19 era, in the context of challenges associated with its use and potential innovations emerging from the pandemic.

As an integrated, comprehensive approach to delivering early identification, intervention, and treatment, SBIRT has demonstrated efficacy for people at risk for AUDs.\(^5,6\) This approach has three main components: (1) Screening to assess the severity of substance use and appropriate level of treatment, (2) Brief intervention to provide awareness of substance use and increase
motivation to change and (3) Referral to treatment for those needing more extensive treatment, including access to specialty care. There is also growing support for more assertive modification of SBIRT through Recovery Management Checkups (RMC). This approach augments SBIRT through proactively scheduled routine care checkups for patients with a dedicated focus on patients’ substance use. Principles of SBIRT and RMC include motivational interviewing techniques and the transtheoretical stages of change model.

Despite the evidence for SBIRT as a method for treating AUD, it is not commonly used in practice. Integrating SBIRT into primary care settings is one way to expand access and has been championed before, especially in federally medical qualified centers. Benefits of the primary care setting include patients’ ease and trust with primary care providers (PCPs) providing brief interventions, reduced stigma in seeking help, as well as familiarity with referrals and associated costs; patients therefore tend to prefer this setting over specialty care. Bartels et al. (2004) found that effective referral to treatment, one of the most difficult steps in the SBIRT process, is more easily achieved when alcohol treatment is embedded in primary care and in closer physical proximity versus an external referral or physically further away. Thus, especially in the context of reduced access as documented during the pandemic, and the promise of harm reduction measures such as pharmacologic management in response to COVID-19, AUD treatment is better facilitated in a primary care setting.

SBIRT implementation in primary care is feasible and effective, yet it is not without challenges. Screening is often hampered by a lack of privacy and confidentiality and is not integrated with existing assessment. Point-of-care brief intervention and referral are also not easy in this setting. Primary care providers (PCPs) are often reluctant to deliver treatment due to time constraints within the clinical workflow, belief that the intervention will be ineffective, and lack of experience in delivering brief interventions. Further, insurance barriers, Relative Value
Unit (RVU) incentivized pay structures, and inadequate investment in technology to support SBIRT (e.g., electronic health records) hamper implementation. Key features of successful implementation of SBIRT in primary care have been proposed in Table. Integrated care and digital health innovations, two developments related to population health and the pandemic respectively, may provide the needed impetus to embed SBIRT more widely in primary care. Integrated care, an evidence-based delivery model, tested for efficacy across several diagnoses and populations is ideal for primary care settings. This delivery model has the potential to increase capacity and access to harm reduction and early treatment at a time of scarce resources and increased need for pandemic-related AUD care. The integrated delivery model is essentially a collaborative effort between PCPs and a specially trained behavioral health care manager to screen and treat patients for mental health under the supervision of a licensed psychiatric physician. The psychiatrist additionally helps with triage, addressing comorbidities, and the use of medications to reduce alcohol use. The psychiatrists and behavioral health care managers meet regularly to review cases and jointly develop patient treatment plans for individual patients. This plan includes treatment, medication, and short-term psychotherapy recommendations for PCPs. The psychiatrist may also see patients on an as needed basis. This model, used to treat a variety of psychiatric conditions in primary care settings is financially viable, with billing support from several public and private payers that would also cover targeting alcohol use. The potential value of an integrated SBIRT care model for AUD in primary care would be to increase capacity for treatment while optimizing scarce psychiatric resources. Referrals and monitoring could be augmented through RMCs also integrated into primary care workflows.

Digital innovations such as telemedicine, mobile, and web-based applications have seen accelerated pandemic-related use. These modalities have created scaling, continuity of care,
and new methods of patient-centered AUD care, which are likely to continue beyond COVID-19.\textsuperscript{19} Further, tele-expertise, where an addiction specialist can distantly supervise the work of other health professionals,\textsuperscript{20} can additionally support and elevate telemedicine and digital transformation of SBIRT addiction care for AUD. Other digital health interventions, such as programmed therapeutic relational agents\textsuperscript{21} and wearable devices,\textsuperscript{22} could provide additional personalized treatment support, improve access and reduce costs.\textsuperscript{23} Digitized, mobile modalities could also benefit the screening process for SBIRT, with brief screening instruments with strong sensitivity and specificity. Digital SUD interventions are supported by preliminary evidence in reducing substance use, including alcohol use.\textsuperscript{24} Thus the population-level burden of pandemic-associated alcohol-related harm could be reduced with scalable digital AUD interventions.

Despite the potential value of a digitally-driven and integrated SBIRT AUD model, specific care and attention need to be paid to implementation challenges, health equity, and payment considerations. Delivery and implementation of AUD services in primary care need careful thought for potential pitfalls to digital and socio-economic related access and retention of patients. Many clinics have hastily improvised and implemented telemedicine out of necessity during the lockdown, without clinical guideline support or evidence about effectiveness.\textsuperscript{25} Despite their expanded use and increasing clinicians’ comfort with digital health capabilities, these technologies have been layered upon old practice models, without addressing earlier problems related to SBIRT integration in primary care. New digitally integrated SBIRT AUD models should be informed as learning health systems that are responsive to individual patient needs in real-time, overcoming access, logistic barriers and pandemic-related safety issues of patients and clinicians. Use of appropriate implementation frameworks\textsuperscript{26-29} and feedback loops from use and experience should guide the ongoing effort. Outcomes relevant to PCPs, addiction specialists, and patients should be used to test best telemedicine and digital practices
(e.g., remote, in-person, and hybrid care) of delivering SBIRT AUD care.\textsuperscript{30} Quality improvement methods should be used to assess whether and how apps and telemedicine fit in at each stage of SBIRT AUD treatment using simple plan-do-study-act (PDSA) cycles. Other factors that warrant attention include a well-defined workflow following a positive screen, which includes identifying and training a team with the right SBIRT and digital health delivery expertise, with adequate administrative support, PCP champions, and buy-in from leadership.\textsuperscript{31} The screening strategy should clarify what personnel will conduct the screening and what instruments will be used (digital or otherwise). Leveraging existing digitally delivered collaborative care models for depression or other behavioral health conditions could be of value. Establishing financial viability is critical in the context of reimbursable and necessary services. Adequate staffing for care coordination through proactive use of a registry based on alcohol use screening results and integrated with the electronic medical record should guide care and outreach. Compliance with confidentiality, including federal regulations concerning patient privacy protection regarding alcohol use and digital delivery tools is important. Transition to the next steps of SBIRT should establish an adequate digitally integrated process for brief interventions for alcohol use, including personnel, staffing, and training, as well as for medication provision and counseling. Local specialty alcohol addiction treatment sites should be identified and partnered with for concerted referral efforts. Patient self-management should include education and preferred enabling tools (digital and otherwise) based on patient capacity. Finally, performance management is critical, including the use of formal quality improvement processes and tracking and feedback on goal attainment.

Health equity was highlighted during the pandemic due to the disproportionately larger toll on ethnic minority, rural and lower-income communities.\textsuperscript{32} Integration of SBIRT into primary care should pay special attention to the potential for disparities through appropriate implementation strategies.\textsuperscript{28,33,34} As noted, digital interventions offer the promise of breaking down some
barriers related to access, but they can also leave patients behind due to lack of access to smartphones, computers, or the internet. Poor and racial/ethnic minorities in rural communities lack either computers or smartphones with internet connections. Digital health equity also extends beyond those with lower socio-economic means to patients with poor area-based digital connectivity, limited digital literacy, and age-related cognitive declines, leaving them at risk of social exclusion. Solutions to this problem, by way of new and effective implementation strategies, present an inclusive approach to designing and evaluating digital platforms and solutions with a broad range of end-users in mind, including those requiring safety-net and digital health literacy support. For example, clinics have begun purchasing internet-connected tablets for patients to use to answer digitalized questionnaires. These same tablets could be loaned to the patients for a digital visit either at the clinic or checked out to be used at home as a library book. Similar solutions are being implemented in the Veterans Affairs (VA) healthcare system, early adopters of such technology to extend care access to veterans. In 2016, VA’s Office of Rural Health and Connected Care expanded video teleconferencing access through an initiative in which video-enabled tablets were distributed to veterans with access barriers. Early evaluations of this initiative have shown promising results of improved access, specifically for mental health treatment.

Amendments to existing payment policy by state and government agencies concerning eligible AUD services and the extent to which they are reimbursed to providers and covered by insurance for patients are needed. Insurance variation and coverage ineligibility, often retroactively learned by patients in this new landscape of digital medicine, maybe a further source of disparities in access until appropriate payment are in place. For example, to ensure telemedicine can be paid for, a new model must require that clinics must be in Medicaid expansion states or accept Medicaid. Patients’ lack of appropriate devices, digital skills and internet connectivity are still problems not addressed by existing insurance policies, although
provider reimbursement and clinicians’ capacity to deliver care remotely has benefitted from federal telemedicine policy. Payment reform could include the right incentives to clinics and providers to find ways to decrease the digital divide by providing digitally challenged patients the needed skills (e.g., digital access training), resources (e.g., Ipads) and support (e.g., digital navigators). This, in turn, would help to minimize the digital gap in access to these new technologies to minimize disruptions to care and potential disparities that may emerge from these new digital models of AUD care.

**Conclusion:**

There is an urgent need for action to prevent or mitigate alcohol-related current and future health care burdens. The time is ripe to leverage and adapt current evidence-based models of care and at the same time adapt, scale, and leverage the opportunities provided by digital health to address the increasing demand for addiction-related services that existed before the current pandemic and is now accelerating at an alarming rate. As a new period of normalcy emerges, AUD treatment providers are preparing for the inevitable pandemic-related impact of uncontrolled alcohol use by some patients. SBIRT is helpful in early identification and interventions for AUDs in normal times, but adoption has been poor. With the crisis also comes opportunity. The pandemic associated increase in the use of electronic resources and heightened attention on the need for equity may allow for an enhanced model of SBIRT that overcomes some of the barriers to implementation for better adoption and outcomes. We believe the future lies in a digitally-driven integrated SBIRT model for AUD, located in primary care settings as described here, carefully designed, implemented, and sustained through the challenges of health equity, payment reform, and ongoing implementation hurdles.
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Table 1: Best Practices for SBIRT Implementation in Primary Care, (Data from *Public Health Rev.*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Best Practices for SBIRT Implementation in Primary Care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have a practice champion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilize an interprofessional team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Define and communicate the details of each SBIRT step</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop relationships with referral partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute ongoing SBIRT training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Align SBIRT with the primary care office flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider using a pre-screening instrument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrate SBIRT into an EHR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>